

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Rox 150
Annandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,432	08/31/2000		John M. Davis	INTL-0436-US (P9448) 3794	
21906	7590	07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
TROP PRU	NER & 1	HU, PC	JEAN, FRANTZ B		
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	IX //0	15/-2631	2151		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/652,432	DAVIS, JOHN M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frantz B. Jean	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ma	ay 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Vaminer					
	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7 Octobrio 1 101111 1 70-102.					
<u> </u>	priority under 25 H.C.C. S. 440(a)	(4) (5)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·	or the sertified doples het received	.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2151

This office action is in response to applicants' correspondence filed 05/05/2006.

Claims 1-30 are still pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by England US patent Number 6,144,991.

With respect to claim 1, England teaches a method comprising: receiving a client request for help related to a web page (abstract; fig 8, col. 11 line 65 to col. 12 line 36); and automatically providing information to remotely access said web page (col. 11 line 65 to col. 12 line 36; fig 8).

Claim 11 is essentially the same as claim 1, and is rejected on the same basis. England teaches the further limitation of an article comprising a medium storing instructions that enable a processor based system ... (Fig. 1-8).

Claim 21 is essentially the same as claim 1, and is rejected on the same basis. England teaches the further limitation a processor (Fig. 1 elements 102-105); and a storage coupled to said processor (Fig. 1-8).

With respect to claim 2, England teaches the method of claim 1 including automatically initiating a chat session in response to

Art Unit: 2151

the client request for help (fig 8; col. 11 line 20 to col 12 line 36).

Claim 12 is essentially the same as claim 2, and is rejected on the same basis.

Claim 22 is essentially the same as claim 2, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 3, England teaches the method of claim 2 including automatically initiating the chat session with a help service provider in response to the client request for help (col. 11 line 20 to col. 12 line 36; fig 8-11).

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 3, and is rejected on the same basis.

Claim 23 is essentially the same as claim 3, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 5, England teaches the method of claim 1 wherein receiving the client request for help includes providing a client agent which obtains a Uniform Resource Locator identifying the web page and forwards the Uniform Resource Locator to a remote processor-based system (col. 21 lines 5-26).

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 5, and is rejected on the same basis.

Claim 24 is essentially the same as claim 5, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 6, England teaches the method of claim 5 further including collecting information about a client and forwarding said information to the remote system (see abstract).

Claim 16 is essentially the same as claim 6, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 7, England teaches the method of claim 1, and also requesting live help from a web page (fig 8-11 and 14-17), which is equated with wherein receiving the client request for help includes receiving a client selection of a help icon.

Art Unit: 2151

Claim 17 is essentially the same as claim 7, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 8, England teaches the method of claim 7 including extracting information about a remote processor-based system from said web page (see abstract; see fig 8-11 and 14-17).

Claim 18 is essentially the same as claim 8, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 9, England teaches the method of claim 1 including initiating a chat session between a remote processor-based system and said client (fig 8-11 and 14-17; col. 11 lines 20 et seq)

Claim 19 is essentially the same as claim 9, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claim 26, England teaches the method comprising: receiving information about a web page accessed by a processor-based system; and using said information to simultaneously access the same web page (see fig 14-16 and 18-22; abstract).

Claim 28 is essentially the same as claim 26, and is rejected on the same basis. England teaches the further limitation an article comprising a medium storing instructions that enable a processorbased system to (Fig. 1).

Claim 30 is essentially the same as claim 26, and is rejected on the same basis. England teaches the further limitation a processor (Fig. 1-5); and a storage (or a server) coupled to said processor (Fig. 1-5).

With respect to claim 27, England teaches the method of claim 26 including implementing a chat session with the processor-based system at the same time said web page is being accessed (realtime or live chat session; see fig 8-11, 14-17 and 18-22; col. 11 line 20 to col. 12 line 36; see abstract).

Claim 29 is essentially the same as claim 27, and is rejected on the same basis.

With respect to claims 10, 20 and 25, overlaying a chat dialog box over a web page is implicit in England's text interface (see fig 1-11).

Page 5

As per claim 4, England teaches initiating a web page refresh (see fig 8-11; col. 11 line 20 to col. 12 line 36).

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 4, and is rejected on the same basis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz B. Jean whose telephone number is 571-272-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571 272 3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz Jean

FRANTZ B. JEAN PRIMARY EXAMINER